RESEARCH QUESTION

Can the benefits of a passively actuated gliding mechanism outweigh the drag and mass costs?

MODEL

Drag equation:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 C_D A \cos(\theta)$$

- ρ = mass density of air = 1.29 kg/m^3
- C_D = drag coefficient = 1.92 from drop test results



Blue: 0-drag mass **Red:** glider with collapsing wings Both have equivalent initial velocity and mass



Extending the Jumping Range of a Small Robot via Collapsible Gliding Wings

Guston Lighthouse, Engineering (Robotics) Mentor: Daniel Aukes, Assistant Professor The Polytechnic School

DATA COLLECTION

Glider trajectories of drop tests and launch tests were recorded in 1080p 60fps video • Videos were analyzed and the initial height, initial velocity, maximum height, and total time in the air were extracted. Also recorded were the approximate times for the collapse and extension of the linkages.



Left: axis between wings perpendicular to motion **Right:** axis between wings parallel to motion

RESULTS

- Current glider and linkage parameters incur too much drag to make up for decreased vertical with extended horizontal.
- The orientation of glider has a greater effect than expected and is worth further research.

	Max Height (m)	Time to Ground (s)
150 mm Diameter Wings, 20.8 g Payload 4.26 m/s Initial Velocity		
Experiment	1.333	1.01
Model	1.331	0.94
200 mm Diameter Wings, 20.8 g Payload		
4.26 m/s Initial Velocity		
Experiment	1.192	1.02
Model	1.272	0.95
250 mm Diameter Wings, 38.1 g Payload 3.60 m/s Initial Velocity		
Experiment	1.239	1.00
Model	1.189	0.90

